



BOARD OF ANIMAL SERVICES COMMISSIONERS  
CITY OF LOS ANGELES

Tuesday, October 12, 2010

10:00 A.M.

**Los Angeles City Hall  
200 North Spring Street  
Room 1060  
Los Angeles, California 90012**

---

Melanie Ramsayer, President  
Vacant, Vice-President  
Tariq Khero  
Terri Macellaro  
Kathleen Riordan  
Ruthanne Secunda

Sign language interpreters, assistive listening devices, or other auxiliary aids and/or services may be provided upon request. To ensure availability, you are advised to make your request at least 72 hours prior to the meeting you wish to attend. For information please call (213) 482-9501.

Si require servicios de traduccion, favor de notificar la oficina con 24 horas por anticipado.

**COMMISSION MEETING**

**1. COMMISSION BUSINESS**

- A. Annual Election of Commission Officers for 2010-2011
- B. Approval of the Commission Meeting Minutes for September 28, 2010
- C. Oral Report by the Commissioners on Meetings and Events attended

**2. GENERAL MANAGER RECOMMENDS FOR BOARD ACTION**

- A. Increasing Limits on Dogs from Three to Five Per Property and Increasing the Limit on Cats from Three to Five (Indoors) Per Property and Reducing the Number of Guard Dogs to Two Per Property

That the Board request that the Mayor, and subsequently the City Council:

Direct the City Attorney to prepare an ordinance amending the Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC) Section 53.00 Definitions, to provide that Cat Kennel is defined as six or more cats and Dog Kennel is defined as six or more dogs, as described more fully in the body of this report excluding animals in temporary foster care; and Direct the City Attorney to amend LAMC 53.66 Guard Dogs, to limit the number guard dogs which can be licensed at one premises to two and that no other dogs can be licensed on a premises where one or two guard dogs are licensed; and, Request that the Planning Department identify other LAMC amendments necessary to ensure consistent change in the Cat Kennel and Dog Kennel definitions and direct the City Attorney to include those changes in the subject ordinance.

B. Donation of Flea and Tick Treatments from Banfield, the Pet Hospital and CEVA Animal Health

That the Board accept the generous donation of flea and tick treatments, for dogs and cats taken into animal care centers, from Banfield, the Pet Hospital, and manufacturer CEVA Animal Health, with a retail value estimated at no less than \$87,500, subject to approval by the Mayor and City Council

### 3. DISCUSSION ITEMS

A. Coyote Issues (Continued from the Meeting of September 28, 2010)

Discussion of community reports of coyote sightings and possible direction to staff.

### 4. ORAL REPORT OF THE GENERAL MANAGER

### 5. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD - (Comments from the public on items of public interest within the Board's subject matter jurisdiction and on items not on the Agenda.)

**Public Comments: The Brown Act prohibits the Board and staff from responding to the speakers' comments. Some of the matters raised in public comment may appear on a future agenda.**

### 6. FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

### 7. ADJOURNMENT

Next Commission Meeting is scheduled for 10:00 A.M., October 26, 2010, Los Angeles City Hall, Room 1060, 200 North Spring Street, Los Angeles, California 90012.

**AGENDAS** - The Board of Animal Services Commissioners (Board) meets regularly every second (2<sup>nd</sup>) and fourth (4<sup>th</sup>) Tuesday of each month at 10:00 A.M. Regular

**Please join us at our website: [www.LAAnimalServices.com](http://www.LAAnimalServices.com)**

Meetings are held at City Hall, 200 North Spring Street, Room 1060, in Los Angeles, CA 90012. The agendas for Board meetings contain a brief general description of those items to be considered at the meetings. Board Agendas are available at the Department of Animal Services (Department), Administrative Division, 221 North Figueroa Street, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90012. Board Agendas may also be viewed on the 2<sup>nd</sup> floor Public Bulletin Board in City Hall East, 200 North Main Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012. Internet users may also access copies of present and prior agenda items, copies of the Board Calendar, MP-3 audio files of meetings as well as electronic copies of approved minutes on the Department's World Wide Web Home Page site at <http://www.laanimalservices.com/CommissionAgendas.htm>

Three (3) members of the Board constitute a quorum for the transaction of business. Some items on the Agenda may be approved without any discussion.

The Board Secretary will announce the items to be considered by the Board. The Board will hear the presentation on the topic and gather additional information from Department Staff. Once presentations have finished, the Board President will ask if any Board Member or member of the public wishes to speak on one or more of these items. Each speaker called before the Commission will have one (1) minute to express their comments and concerns on matters placed on the agenda.

**PUBLIC INPUT AT BOARD MEETINGS – Public Participation on Agenda Items.**

Members of the public will have an opportunity to address the Board on agenda items after the item is called and before the Board takes action on the item, unless the opportunity for public participation on the item was previously provided to all interested members of the public at a public meeting of a Committee of the Board and the item has not substantially changed since the Committee heard the item. When speaking to an agenda item other than during Public Comment (see Public Comment below), the speaker shall limit his or her comments to the specific item under consideration (California Government Code, Section 54954.3).

**Public Comment.** The Board will provide an opportunity for public comment at every regular meeting of the Board. Members of the public may address the Board on any items within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Board as part of Public Comment.

**Speaker Cards.** Members of the public wishing to speak are to fill out one speaker card for each agenda item on which they wish to speak and present it to the Board secretary before the item is called.

**Time Limit for Speakers.** Speakers addressing the Board will be limited to one (1) minute of speaking time for each agenda item except in public comment which is limited to three (3) minutes. The Chairperson, with the approval of a majority of the Board, may for good cause extend any speaker's time by increments of up to one (1) minute. Total speaker time on any agenda item will be limited to ten (10) minutes per item and fifteen (15) minutes for Public Comment, unless extended as above.

**Brown Act.** These rules shall be interpreted in a manner that is consistent with the Ralph M. Brown Act, California Government Code Section § 54950 et seq.

**STANDARDS OF CONDUCT.** Speakers are expected to behave in an orderly manner and to refrain from personal attacks or use of profanity or language that may incite violence.

**Please join us at our website: [www.LAAnimalServices.com](http://www.LAAnimalServices.com)**

All persons present at Board meetings are expected to behave in an orderly manner and to refrain from disrupting the meeting, interfering with the rights of others to address the Board and/or interfering with the conduct of business by the Board.

In the event that any speaker does not comply with the foregoing requirements, or if a speaker does not address the specific item under consideration, the speaker may be ruled out of order, their speaking time forfeited and the Chairperson may call upon the next speaker.

The Board, by majority vote, may order the removal from the meeting of any speaker or audience member continuing to behave in a disruptive manner after being warned by the Chairperson regarding their behavior. Section 403 of the California Penal Code states as follows: "Every person who, without authority of law, willfully disturbs or breaks up any assembly or meeting that is not unlawful in its character, other than an assembly or meeting referred to in Section 302 of the Penal Code or Section 18340 of the Elections Code, is guilty of a misdemeanor".

**VOTING AND DISPOSITION OF ITEMS** – Most items require a majority vote of the entire membership of the Board (3 members). When debate on an item is completed, the Board President will instruct the Secretary to "call the roll". Every member present must vote for or against each item; abstentions are not permitted unless there is a Conflict of Interest for which the Board member is obliged to abstain from voting. The Secretary will announce the votes on each item. Any member of the Board may move to "reconsider" any vote on any item on the agenda, except to adjourn, suspend the Rules, or where an intervening event has deprived the Board of jurisdiction, providing that said member originally voted on the prevailing side of the item. The motion to "reconsider" shall only be in order once during the meeting, and once during the next regular meeting. The member requesting reconsideration shall identify for all members present the Agenda number and subject matter previously voted upon. A motion to reconsider is not debatable and shall require an affirmative vote of three members of the Board.

When the Board has failed by sufficient votes to approve or reject an item, and has not lost jurisdiction over the matter, or has not caused it to be continued beyond the next regular meeting, the issue is again placed on the next agenda for the following meeting for the purpose of allowing the Board to again vote on the matter.

**BOARD OF  
ANIMAL SERVICES  
COMMISSIONERS**

MELANIE RAMSAYER  
PRESIDENT

COMMISSIONERS

TARIQ R. KHERO

TERRI MACELLARO

KATHLEEN RIORDAN

RUTHANNE SECUNDA

**City of Los Angeles**  
CALIFORNIA



**ANTONIO R. VILLARAIGOSA**  
MAYOR

DEPARTMENT OF  
**ANIMAL SERVICES**  
221 North Figueroa Street  
5<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90012  
(888) 452-7381  
FAX (213) 482-9511

BRENDA F. BARNETTE  
GENERAL MANAGER

LINDA J. BARTH  
ASST. GENERAL MANAGER

KATHLEEN J. DAVIS  
INTERIM GENERAL MANAGER

## **Report to the Board of Animal Services Commissioners**

---

**COMMISSION MEETING DATE:** October 12, 2010 **PREPARED BY:** Linda Barth

**REPORT DATE:** October 7, 2010

**TITLE:** Asst. General Manger

**SUBJECT:** Donation of Flea and Tick Treatments from Banfield, the Pet Hospital and CEVA Animal Health

---

### **BOARD ACTION RECOMMENDED:**

That the Board accept the generous donation of flea and tick treatments, for dogs and cats taken into animal care centers, from Banfield, the Pet Hospital, and manufacturer CEVA Animal Health, with a retail value estimated at no less than \$87,500, subject to approval by the Mayor and City Council.

---

### **SUMMARY:**

In the spring of 2010, at the instruction of the Board, staff developed a pilot donation and sponsorship program document for review of the City Attorney, focusing on the solicitation of flea treatments for the stray and lost animals that come into our animal care centers. The sponsorship program offered a menu of recognition options and opportunities to share product information with our customers, dependent on the level of donation offered (solicitation is attached). Our Chief Veterinarian contacted several manufacturers and suppliers to tempt their interest, and he was successful in gaining a generous donation of flea and tick treatment.

Banfield, The Pet Hospital, and CEVA Animal Health offered to sponsor "A Year of Peace At One Place" by providing a total of 9,000 assorted doses of Vectra 3D for Fleas and Ticks (dogs only) and Vectra for Fleas (dogs and cats), in exchange for one year of recognition, potentially split in six-month increments each at West LA and East Valley Animal Care Centers.

**AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER**

Visit our website at [www.LAAnimalServices.com](http://www.LAAnimalServices.com)

Subject: Donation of Flea and Tick Treatments from Banfield, the Pet Hospital and CEVA Animal Health

---

Staff is excited to work with Banfield and their flea and tick product manufacturer. The one year recognition and product information campaign will entail:

- Permission to hang a 10-foot banner naming the flea and tick product as a sponsor, at a mutually agreeable visible location, at one center;
- Distribution of coupons or flyers about the flea and tick product, provided by the sponsor, in the public area, at one center;
- Listing and logo on the LA Animal Services website page for the chosen animal care center as a sponsor, with an offsite link to the flea and tick product distributor or manufacturer.

The Los Angeles Administrative Code, Section 5.200.1 (Receipt of Property) states that any gift or bequest to be utilized by a Department, that exceeds \$25,000, must be accepted by the Mayor and City Council. The Department would like to recognize the generosity of this gift by having donor representatives on hand when the matter reaches Council for final approval

#### **FISCAL IMPACT:**

The Department receives high quality flea and tick treatment, which is mandatory for the comfort and care of the animals in our centers, at a potential savings of \$50,000 in purchases of less expensive flea and tick product from the Department's medical supplies account 3190.

Approved:

---

**Brenda F. Barnette, General Manager**

---

#### **BOARD ACTION:**

\_\_\_\_\_ Passed

Disapproved \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ Passed with noted modifications

Continued \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ Tabled

New Date \_\_\_\_\_

**BOARD OF  
ANIMAL SERVICES  
COMMISSIONERS**

MELANIE RAMSAYER  
PRESIDENT

VACANT  
VICE PRESIDENT

TARIQ A. KHERO

TERRI MACELLARO

KATHLEEN RIORDAN

RUTHANNE SECUDA

**City of Los Angeles**  
CALIFORNIA



**ANTONIO R. VILLARAIGOSA**  
MAYOR

DEPARTMENT OF  
**ANIMAL SERVICES**  
221 North Figueroa Street  
5<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90012  
(888) 452-7381  
FAX (213) 482-9511

**BRENDA F. BARNETTE**  
General Manager

**Report to the Board of Animal Services Commissioners**

**BRENDA F. BARNETTE, General Manager**

---

**COMMISSION MEETING DATE:** October 12, 2010 **PREPARED BY:** Brenda Barnette

**REPORT DATE:** October 6, 2010

**TITLE:** General Manager

**SUBJECT:** Increasing Limits on Dogs from Three to Five Per Property and Increasing the Limit on Cats from Three to Five (Indoors) Per Property and Reducing the Number of Guard Dogs to Two Per Property

---

**BOARD ACTION RECOMMENDED:**

That the Board request that the Mayor, and subsequently the City Council:

1. Direct the City Attorney to prepare an ordinance amending the Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC) Section 53.00 Definitions, to provide that Cat Kennel is defined as six or more cats and Dog Kennel is defined as six or more dogs, as described more fully in the body of this report excluding animals in temporary foster care;
  2. Direct the City Attorney to amend LAMC 53.66 Guard Dogs, to limit the number guard dogs which can be licensed at one premises to two and that no other dogs can be licensed on a premises where one or two guard dogs are licensed; and,
  3. Request that the Planning Department identify other LAMC amendments necessary to ensure consistent change in the Cat Kennel and Dog Kennel definitions and direct the City Attorney to include those changes in the subject ordinance.
- 

**SUMMARY:**

Currently, a pet owner in Los Angeles is permitted to keep a maximum of three dogs and three cats over four months of age. This limitation is codified in LAMC Section 53.00 by defining a kennel as having four or more dogs or four or more cats, without regard for the purpose of the kennel as being private or commercial; kennels must be

**AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER**

Visit our website at [www.LAAnimalServices.com](http://www.LAAnimalServices.com)



Subject: Increasing Limits on Dogs from Three to Five Per Property and Increasing the Limit on Cats from Three to Five (Indoors) Per Property and Reducing the Number of Guard Dogs to Two Per Property

---

permitted (LAMC 53.50) and can be located in a few planning zones, mostly light industrial zones. The last revision to this language was in 1987. Kennel definitions and pet limits appear elsewhere in the LAMC in sections related to land use and zoning.

On June 4, 2010, Councilmember Bill Rosendahl introduced a motion, seconded by Councilmember Paul Koretz, to amend the LAMC to raise the number of dogs and cats that a City resident may own from three to five (Council File No. 10-0982). The motion would increase the number of stray animals that could be placed in homes and it would increase revenue from the additional licenses sold on more dogs. The Public Safety Committee, at their meeting on July 19, 2010, directed the Department to present the proposal to the Board for consideration and report back to Council.

The Department added the provision to reduce the number of guard dogs to two (over the age of 4 months) per property. The Department has observed that a significant number of the guard dogs are not licensed, are not spayed or neutered and that it is not unusual to see too many dogs for one property. Incidents of dog bites are more prevalent if the dogs are not spayed or neutered and there is also more dog to dog aggression among unaltered dogs.

The Department, Found Animals nonprofit, and Best Friends nonprofit did extensive research taking a look at similar communities where there are much higher or no pet limits to study the impact on community safety and animals' lives saved. The Department held two well publicized Town Hall Meetings to hear the thoughts of the community. The Department sent out a news release to local media outlets and others on our contact list and local bloggers picked up the news and helped publicize the events. The first Town Hall Meeting held on September 16, 2010, at the East Valley Center was attended by representatives of three major television stations as well as other news reporters who then helped publicize the second Town Hall Meeting that was held on September 22<sup>nd</sup> at the West Los Angeles Center. The community members who attended the Town Hall Meetings (approximately 225 total) represented a diverse cross section of our community such as dog trainers, pure-bred dog enthusiasts, rescuers, dog walkers, neighborhood associations, foster care volunteers, department staff and apartment owners. Each person who wanted to speak was allowed 3 minutes to express his/her thoughts. There were a few dissenters, but the community members who attended were overwhelmingly in support of raising the pet limits in Los Angeles.

The Department has not been able to substantiate some of the statements made in opposition to increasing the pet limits. For example, the apartment/condo owners are in unique settings where they impose their own pet limits so having the City increase pet limits will not impact them at all. According to The National Canine Research Council, after two decades of intensive research, they found that "There is a widespread misperception that dogs pose a significant danger, and are becoming increasingly more dangerous. ...Intense media focus on individual incidents has contributed greatly to this misperception". According to Ms. Jade writing for The Dog Press Legislative Reporter



Subject: Increasing Limits on Dogs from Three to Five Per Property and Increasing the Limit on Cats from Three to Five (Indoors) Per Property and Reducing the Number of Guard Dogs to Two Per Property

---

(2007) in an article titled Dog Bite Statistics, 68% of the fatalities were inflicted by a single dog and not by a pack of free roaming dogs. Further, Over 95% of the deaths by dogs to children under the age of one year occurred with an infant who was left unsupervised and of the fatalities for children 2 years old, 87% occurred when the child was left unattended. The single dog attacks occurred by the family dog.

Attached is a document published by Found Animals that supports increased pet limits and show data from a variety of communities for comparison. The Southern California Veterinary Medical Association (SCVMA), representing approximately 1500 veterinarians, voted to support increasing the pet limits to 5 dogs and 5 cats per household.

In the City, as in many other jurisdictions, the number limit for dogs or cats is arbitrary, that is, there is not a specific reason that three cats or three dogs is the limit. In practice, the limit laws are not well known among residents and most pet guardians would learn about the limits only if there were other reasons for an encounter with animal control personnel, such as a complaint made that must be investigated. It appears that in practice we believe that the community should be allowed to have more than three animals because people do have more than three making the current limit law is out of step with current practice. We are unaware of these specific households unless a problem is reported. Generally speaking, and again typical for jurisdictions whether there are limits or no limits, the Department exercises concern about the number of animals at a property principally in connection with cruelty or inhumane conditions, dangerous animals, and nuisances.

Not enforcing the limit law is not appropriate. This undermines the overall authority of government and creates a dangerous precedent. It also makes "criminals" out of many of our rescue partners in the community.

Conversely, there can be situations in which complaints lead to enforcement of limit laws despite a lack of any serious humane or public safety concern, because of neighboring property owner complaints, for example. These and other consequences of a strict and low pet limit could result in pets surrendered to rescue and the Department, thereby increasing pet intake and adding to the number of pets needing new homes.

Changing the law would have the positive inverse effect: it would expand the ability of persons to legally care for more pets and provide a platform for exciting the public about adding a pet to the family from their local animal care center or rescue organization. In the cases that circumstances warrant stricter limitations as a proactive step to protect persons or animals, the LAMC sections on Administrative Hearings could also be amended to provide explicitly that reductions in the number of pets allowed would be a potential condition for re-licensing after violations of barking or dangerous animal regulations. We recommend that the pet limit increase include stating that the five cats

Subject: Increasing Limits on Dogs from Three to Five Per Property and Increasing the Limit on Cats from Three to Five (Indoors) Per Property and Reducing the Number of Guard Dogs to Two Per Property

---

to be indoors, which benefits the safety of cats and also reduces the possibility of cats being turned to the Department as strays.

Beyond the legitimate need to limit pets where animal or human safety requires action, which can be managed as mentioned above as a condition subsequent to an administrative hearing, any actual benefit of pet limits are difficult to quantify. A jurisdiction with decades of no limits on cats, such as San Diego County, has a higher live release rate than our Department. This suggests that limits in the City do not appear to have had a direct impact on the City's efforts to reduce cat euthanasia. Dog and cat limits are unrelated to animal hoarding and fighting, which in the former case are the manifestation of mental illness and in the latter case deliberate illegal activities.

The Department is committed to improving the humane treatment of animals and increasing the number of pets which are living in loving homes. Austere limits on the number of pets, difficult and restrictive permitting requirements, and laws which may motivate people to avoid licensing dogs for fear of triggering enforcement of limits are not beneficial to animals and will likely hamper efforts to increase pet adoptions and revenue.

Increasing the number of animals that may be maintained on any single premises will increase the possibility of animals being adopted from the Department, and likely decrease intake of strays and surrendered pets over the limit. If residents are allowed to keep more dogs and cats more adoptions and less euthanasia may result.

We learned that raising the pet limits will help us save more animals' lives and will increase revenues through dog licenses. Here are a few facts to consider:

1. Oahu, Hawaii is an island with a high population and limited land mass. If you live in a residential area, you can have no more than ten dogs, aged 4-months or older. There is no law governing the number of cats, birds or other companion animals you may keep.
2. Riverside County's limit is nine cats before a kennel permit is required. San Diego County and Santa Barbara County have no cat limits.
3. The City of Santa Monica has no number limit on either dogs or cats.
4. These cities are not having any increased problems with dangerous dogs or hoarders. In fact, the City Attorney for Santa Monica specifically said that there is no discussion of adding limits.
5. **For the last 10 years, the City of San Diego has not had a cat limit. The limit on dogs is 6. The Live Save rate for San Diego County Animal Services that covers both the City and the County is 82% for dogs and 54% for cats (FY 2008-09 with intake of 48,878). During this same FY 2008-09. The Live Save rate for Los Angeles is 73% for dogs and just under 39% for cats.**

Subject: Increasing Limits on Dogs from Three to Five Per Property and Increasing the Limit on Cats from Three to Five (Indoors) Per Property and Reducing the Number of Guard Dogs to Two Per Property

---

**FISCAL IMPACT:**

Licensing of dogs is the City's mechanism to ensure that dogs are vaccinated and that they receive the proper care. If dog licensing procedures and related regulations are conducive to licensing, the City will licensing more dogs. Increasing pet limits may result in additional revenue as persons with more than three dogs are willing to license the additional dogs without enforcement fears, and this may also highlight an opportunity for other pet enthusiasts to add a companion animal to their families which would result in additional revenue from more dogs and cats adopted and dogs licensed. Currently only about 5% of the (6,000) licensed dogs are from three dog families therefore it is unlikely that there would suddenly be an enormous number of 4 or 5 dog families. In random polling, community members know their limits and self regulate. If the current families who license their dogs added one dog and one dog license, the annual revenue for the City at \$20 per license could be very significant.

**COMMENT:**

The Mayor and the City Council heard the voices of the community and unanimously appointed me to bring a more progressive animal welfare agenda to the City of Los Angeles. I was directed to help create a more humane community, a safer community and a community that finds non lethal methods to care for the animals residing here in The City of Angels. I commend Council members Rosendahl and Koretz for introducing this humane and life-saving motion and I'm asking you to approve the recommendations contained herein giving me an important tool to do the work I was hired to carry out.

**Attachment:**

FoundAnimals. Pet Limit Laws. A Brief Summary

Approved:

---

**Brenda F. Barnette, General Manager**

---

**BOARD ACTION:**

\_\_\_\_\_ Passed

Disapproved \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ Passed with noted modifications

Continued \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ Tabled

New Date \_\_\_\_\_